

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Palent and Trademark Office Address: COMMESSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Fox 140 Alexandria Virginia 22313-1450 www.bribio.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/627,232	07/27/2000	Nancy George	066990.0102	2324	
7590 09/13/2006			EXAMINER		
Baker Botts LLP			KINDRED, ALFORD W		
The Warner 1299 Pennsylva	ania Avenue N W	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	OC 20004-2400	2163			
			DATE MAILED: 09/13/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		09/627,23	32	GEORGE, NANCY	
		Examine		Art Unit	
		Alford W.	Kindred	2163	
The Period for Re	MAILING DATE of this commun	ication appears on the	cover sheet with the c	correspondence address -	-
A SHORTE WHICHEV - Extensions of after SIX (6) - If NO period - Failure to rej Any reply re	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD F ER IS LONGER, FROM THE N of time may be available under the provisions MONTHS from the mailing date of this common for reply is specified above, the maximum stoly within the set or extended period for reply believed by the Office later than three months and term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IAILING DATE OF TH of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no even nunication. atutory period will apply and w will, by statute, cause the app	HIS COMMUNICATION ent, however, may a reply be tir string expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed I the mailing date of this communica ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·
Status	•				
2a)☐ This 3)☐ Sinc	consive to communication(s) file action is FINAL . The this application is in condition accordance with the praction	2b)⊠ This action is n for allowance except	on-final. for formal matters, pro		s is
Disposition of	f Claims				
4a) C 5)	n(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the a of the above claim(s) is/a n(s) is/are allowed. n(s) 1-19 is/are rejected. n(s) is/are objected to. n(s) are subject to restrict apers specification is objected to by the drawing(s) filed on is/are cant may not request that any objected to reduce the country of the country o	ction and/or election relection and/or election relection relection relection relection relection accepted or by the correction is required to the drawing(s) by the correction is required.	equirement. objected to by the be held in abeyance. Se ed if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	` '
	35 U.S.C. § 119	,			•
12)☐ Ackno a)☐ All 1.☐ 2.☐ 3.☐	by b	documents have bee documents have bee of the priority documents anal Bureau (PCT Rul	n received. n received in Applicat ents have been receive e 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
2) 🔲 Notice of Dr	eferences Cited (PTO-892) aftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) /Mail Date	PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	

, , ,

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is responsive to communication: Reconsideration, filed on 01/17/06.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hall et al., US# 6,138,199, in view of Lederer, JR. et al., US# 2002/0023109 A1, and further in view of Smalley et al., US# 6,067,549.

As per claims 1-2, Hall et al. teaches "editing said gathered compliance data to include organizational data and formatting a portion of said compliance data to create modified compliance data" (see col. 5, lines 32-54, whereas Hall's "rights management" reads applicant's "compliance data") "storing said modified compliance data within data . . . classification scheme relating to compliance data . . ." (see col. 7, lines 4-40) "transferring said modified compliance data from said database . . ." (see col. 18, lines 16-44). Hall et al. does not teach "said compliance data comprising at least one requirement for complying with at least one of standards, regulations and laws." Lederer et al. teaches "said compliance data comprising at least one requirement for complying with at least one of standards, regulations and laws" (see page 3, lines [0041]-[0042]). It would have been obvious at the time of the invention for one of ordinary skill in the art to have combined the teachings of Lederer and Hall, because using the

steps of "said compliance data comprising at least one requirement for complying with at least one of standards, regulations and laws" would have given those skilled in the art the tools to set specific standards for the use in describing data that must abide by data rules. This give users the advantage of maintaining the integrity level of transferred data in a network environment more efficiently. Hall et al. does not explicitly teach "wherein said at least one requirement for complying with at least one standards, regulations and laws does not control or manage access to said compliance data." Smalley et al. teaches "wherein said at least one requirement for complying with at least one standards, regulations and laws does not control or manage access to said compliance data" (see abstract and col. 3, lines 27-59). It would have been obvious at the time of the invention for one ordinary skill in the art to have combined the teachings of Smalley with Hall and Lederer above because using the steps of "wherein said at least one requirement for complying with at least one of standards, regulations and laws does not control or manage access to said compliance data" would have given those skilled in the art the ability to process regulatory requirement data along with access control. This give users advantage of managing the processing and accessing of security intensive data more efficiently.

Page 3

As per claims 3-5, Hall et al. teaches "compliance data . . . user's preference . . . " (see col. 16-54).

As per claim 6, Hall et al. teaches "monitors user traffic . . ." (see col. 9, lines 39-64).

As per claim 7, Hall et al. teaches "displaying said modified compliance data . . . " (see col. 7, lines 5-24).

As per claim 8, Hall et al. teaches "Government standards . . ." (see col. 4, lines 47-58).

Application/Control Number: 09/627,232 Page 4

Art Unit: 2163

As per claims 9-11, Hall et al. teaches "wherein said database is publicly inaccessible and password . . ." (see col. 4, lines 57-67).

As per claim 12, Hall et al. teaches "uploading compliance data from a remote communication device" (see col. 18, lines 10-43).

As per claims 13-19, these claims are rejected on grounds corresponding to the arguments given above for rejected claims 1-12 and are similarly rejected.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 1/17/06 have been fully considered but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alford W. Kindred whose telephone number is 571-272-4037. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 9:00 am- 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Don Wong can be reached on (571) 272-1834. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Alford W. Kindred Patent Examiner Tech Ctr. 2100